

UDC 378.046-021.68:378.091.33-027.22:616-053.2
DOI <https://doi.org/10.11603/m.2414-5998.2025.4.15866>

O. V. Labivka

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0294-9119>

Researcher ID OGP-3906-2025

Scopus Author ID 59006056200

M.-I. R. Varvaruk

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0093-7122>

Ivan Horbachevsky Ternopil National Medical University of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine

SIMULATION-BASED LEARNING IN POSTGRADUATE PEDIATRIC TRAINING: INNOVATIVE APPROACHES AND EDUCATIONAL PERSPECTIVES

O. B. Лабівка, М.-І. Р. Варварук

Тернопільський національний медичний університет імені І. Я. Горбачевського МОЗ України

СИМУЛЯЦІЙНЕ НАВЧАННЯ В ПІСЛЯДИПЛОМНІЙ ПІДГОТОВЦІ ПЕДІАТРІВ: ІННОВАЦІЙНІ ПІДХОДИ ТА ОСВІТНІ ПЕРСПЕКТИВИ

Abstract. Simulation-based learning (SBL) has become a cornerstone of modern medical education, providing a safe, standardized, and evidence-based environment for developing clinical, communicative, and ethical competencies. In postgraduate pediatric training, simulation technologies not only enhance procedural precision but also promote clinical reasoning, teamwork, empathy, leadership, and emotional regulation – competencies that are essential for providing high-quality and humanistic care to children. By integrating theoretical knowledge with practical experience, SBL supports the competency-based paradigm that has replaced the traditional lecture-oriented approach. The article examines the philosophical and pedagogical underpinnings of simulation-based education, tracing its evolution from skill acquisition laboratories to complex high-fidelity systems capable of reproducing real clinical scenarios. Particular emphasis is placed on experiential learning, OSCE-based assessment, and scenario-driven simulations as tools for measuring both technical and non-technical skills, including communication, decision-making, and error prevention. The discussion also highlights the value of debriefing sessions and reflective practice as mechanisms for internalizing experience and promoting self-awareness among trainees. Drawing on global trends, the paper reviews international standards for simulation in pediatrics developed by the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Society for Simulation in Healthcare, and the European Network of Pediatric Simulation. The Ukrainian context is analyzed separately, emphasizing the need for institutional support, instructor certification, methodological resources, and alignment with European accreditation requirements. The article concludes that systematic implementation of SBL into postgraduate pediatric curricula will enhance the overall quality of medical education, ensure patient safety, strengthen interprofessional collaboration, and foster humanistic and ethical principles in future pediatricians.

Key words: simulation-based learning; pediatric internship; postgraduate medical education; clinical competence.

Анотація. Симуляційне навчання (SBL) є невід'ємним елементом сучасної медичної освіти, який забезпечує безпечне, стандартизоване й контрольоване середовище для набуття клінічних, комунікативних і професійно-етичних компетентностей. У системі післядипломної підготовки педіатрів симуляційні технології створюють умови для відпрацювання клінічних навичок, діагностичного мислення, роботи в команді, лідерства, емпатії та емоційної стійкості – ключових складників компетентного фахівця. Поєднання теоретичної підготовки з практичними ситуаціями дає змогу формувати компетентнісний підхід, який замінив традиційну модель передачі знань і орієнтує освіту на результат. У статті проаналізовано еволюцію симуляційного навчання від базових тренінгів до високофідельних комплексних систем, що імітують реальні клінічні ситуації. Детально розглянуто педагогічні та психологічні аспекти навчання через досвід, об'єктивний структурований клінічний іспит (OSCE), сценарно орієнтовані симуляції та використання стандартизованих пацієнтів як методи оцінювання клінічної компетентності. Наголошено на значенні дебрифінгу, рефлексії та культури зворотного зв'язку як чинників формування професійної відповідальності та здатності до самооцінки. Висвітлено міжнародні стандарти симуляційного навчання, розроблені провідними професійними спільнотами, та адаптацію цих підходів у післядипломній освіті України. Окреслено основні виклики впровадження SBL: недостатнє технічне забезпечення, обмежену кількість сертифікованих інструкторів, потребу у міжкафедральній взаємодії та оновленні навчальних планів. Підкреслено, що системна інтеграція симуляційних методів у підготовку педіатрів сприятиме підвищенню клінічної компетентності, розвитку культури безпеки, формуванню гуманістичних цінностей і зміцненню пацієнт-орієнтованої медицини в Україні.

Ключові слова: симуляційне навчання; інтернатура з педіатрії; післядипломна медична освіта; клінічна компетентність.

Introduction. The transition toward competency-based medical education (CBME) has fundamentally transformed the structure and philosophy of postgraduate medical training. Unlike traditional models that focused predominantly on the accumulation of theoretical knowledge and the duration of training, CBME emphasizes the achievement of clearly defined competencies and measurable learning outcomes (Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, 2022, p. 3–5). This paradigm shift has introduced new priorities in postgraduate education – assessment of clinical performance, communication, teamwork, and professional behaviour – ensuring that physicians are not only knowledgeable but also capable of applying their expertise effectively in real clinical contexts.

In pediatrics, these changes acquire particular significance. Pediatricians must integrate medical expertise with advanced psychosocial and communicative skills, as they interact simultaneously with two categories of patients: the child and the parents or caregivers. Empathy, emotional intelligence, and the ability to establish trustful communication become as vital as diagnostic and therapeutic decision-making (Diaz-Navarro et al., 2024, p. 12). Thus, training methods that allow the safe development of both clinical and interpersonal competencies are essential to meet the multifaceted demands of pediatric practice.

Traditional bedside teaching remains an indispensable part of medical education, enabling observation of authentic patient conditions and fostering clinical intuition. However, its effectiveness is increasingly constrained by ethical limitations, concerns about patient safety, and limited exposure to rare or critical pediatric cases. Modern healthcare systems also face reduced teaching time due to workload pressures and regulatory restrictions. These challenges have prompted the search for innovative, complementary educational strategies capable of providing structured, reproducible, and learner-centred experiences (McGaghie et al., 2010, p. 50–63).

Simulation-based learning (SBL) has emerged as one of the most effective solutions to these challenges. It provides a safe and standardized environment in which trainees can acquire technical and non-technical skills – such as procedural precision, leadership, communication, and teamwork – without posing any risk to patients (Cook et al., 2011, p. 978–982; Cheng et al., 2014, p. e1313–e1316). Systematic reviews further confirm that simulation-based resuscitation and emergency training significantly improve procedural proficiency, communication, and team coordination (Mundell et al., 2013, p. e1511–e1523). Through structured repetition and immediate feedback, SBL promotes deep learning, reduces performance anxiety, and enhances learners' readiness for clinical decision-making in real-life emergencies.

By reproducing authentic clinical scenarios under supervised conditions, simulation enables progressive skill acquisition through deliberate practice (Ericsson, 2008, p. 990–992). It also cultivates reflective learning – an essential process for linking

theoretical understanding with professional behaviour – and supports the internalization of ethical and patient-safety principles. The effectiveness of simulation is maximized through structured debriefing that allows learners to analyze their actions, emotional responses, and communication under guided reflection (Fanning & Gaba, 2007, p. 115–118). In this sense, simulation-based approaches are fully aligned with the global mission of quality and safety in healthcare and correspond to the standards established by the World Federation for Medical Education (WFME, 2020, p. 14–15).

Theoretical Background of Simulation-Based Learning. The theoretical foundations of simulation-based learning (SBL) are firmly embedded within the framework of experiential and adult learning theories, which emphasize the active role of learners in constructing and transforming knowledge through meaningful experience. Kolb's experiential learning cycle is one of the most influential conceptual models in this regard. It describes learning as a dynamic, continuous process consisting of four stages – concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation – that together enable the transformation of experience into knowledge and practical competence (Kolb, 1984, p. 33–36). Simulation-based education directly embodies this cycle, as learners engage in a clinical scenario (experience), analyze their actions during debriefing (reflection), generalize lessons learned (conceptualization), and then apply this understanding in subsequent simulations or real clinical settings (application).

Complementing Kolb's theory, Ericsson's concept of deliberate practice emphasizes that expert performance results from repeated, goal-oriented training with immediate feedback and opportunities for error correction under guided supervision (Ericsson, 2008, p. 990–992). In the context of SBL, this means that each simulation scenario serves not as a one-time test but as an iterative learning opportunity. Through deliberate repetition and instructor-facilitated feedback, learners progressively refine their psychomotor skills, decision-making, and professional judgment, bridging the gap between novice and expert performance.

At the global level, leading educational organizations recognize simulation as a cornerstone of quality assurance in medical training. The World Federation for Medical Education (WFME) identifies simulation as a key instrument for developing integrated professional competence that encompasses knowledge, technical skills, ethical reasoning, and humanistic values (WFME, 2020, p. 14–16). Similarly, the World Health Organization (WHO) highlights simulation and interprofessional education as critical strategies for ensuring patient safety and promoting collaborative practice among healthcare teams (WHO Europe, 2023, p. 3–5). The Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) further reinforces this position, recommending simulation as an evidence-based tool for evaluating both cognitive and behavioural aspects of clinical competence (Diaz-Navarro et al., 2024, p. 12).

Empirical studies have consistently demonstrated the effectiveness of simulation in improving diagnostic reasoning, clinical decision-making, and communication skills across multiple medical disciplines (Weller, 2012, p. 164–166; Cook et al., 2011, p. 979–981). The structured and interactive nature of SBL supports deeper cognitive processing, allowing learners to integrate theoretical knowledge with situational awareness and interpersonal sensitivity.

In pediatrics, these benefits are particularly salient. High-fidelity manikins, standardized patients, and hybrid simulation models facilitate the acquisition of both procedural and emotional competencies, such as managing neonatal resuscitation, responding to pediatric emergencies, and communicating effectively with distressed parents (Cheng et al., 2014; Diaz-Navarro et al., 2024). The immersive design of pediatric simulations enables interns to develop empathy and confidence while rehearsing complex interactions within a psychologically safe environment.

Consequently, the theoretical basis of SBL provides not only a methodological foundation but also a philosophical framework that redefines learning as a reflective, iterative, and transformative process – one that mirrors the realities of clinical medicine and prepares future pediatricians for adaptive, evidence-informed, and compassionate practice.

Pedagogical Models and International Experience. Simulation-based learning (SBL) is firmly grounded in the core principles of adult learning, which emphasize autonomy, self-direction, reflection, and contextual relevance. In contrast to passive, teacher-centred paradigms, SBL engages learners as active participants who construct meaning through direct experience and reflection on action. This approach aligns closely with Kolb's experiential learning model, which conceptualizes learning as a cyclic process of experiencing, reflecting, conceptualizing, and applying (Kolb, 1984, p. 40–44). Within simulation-based education, each scenario represents a concrete experience; structured debriefing supports reflective observation; formulation of clinical principles facilitates abstract conceptualization; and repeated engagement in new simulations enables active experimentation. This cycle transforms knowledge into adaptive expertise, fostering the physician's ability to function effectively in unpredictable real-life situations.

Complementing this, Ericsson's deliberate-practice framework postulates that expert performance is not the product of innate talent, but of sustained, purposeful practice with targeted feedback (Ericsson, 2008, p. 990–992). In the pedagogical context of SBL, deliberate practice translates into repetitive exposure to clinically authentic tasks under controlled conditions, where mistakes are used as learning opportunities rather than sources of failure. This approach enables the progressive refinement of psychomotor precision, diagnostic reasoning, and teamwork dynamics, reinforcing a growth-mindset culture essential for modern medical professionalism. Evidence-based recommendations emphasize that simulation curricula should incorporate repetitive practice, graduated difficulty, and structured instructor

feedback to optimize learner performance (Motola et al., 2013, p. e1515–e1518).

Internationally, the integration of simulation into postgraduate education has become a hallmark of high-quality medical training. In the United States, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) formally mandates the inclusion of simulation activities as part of residency program accreditation, including pediatrics (ACGME, 2025, p. 6–8). Simulation is used not only for teaching technical skills—such as airway management or cardiopulmonary resuscitation – but also for evaluating non-technical competencies such as communication, leadership, and professionalism.

Across Europe, simulation centers have evolved into interdisciplinary educational ecosystems that link medicine, nursing, and emergency services. These centers emphasize interprofessional communication, situational awareness, and ethical reasoning – competencies considered crucial for safe and collaborative healthcare (Kneebone, 2005, p. 549–553). Many European universities have adopted structured frameworks for scenario design and debriefing, ensuring consistency and quality of learning outcomes across disciplines.

In Canada, the CanMEDS competency framework – developed by the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada – explicitly integrates simulation into the formation of all physician roles: medical expert, communicator, collaborator, manager, health advocate, scholar, and professional (Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, 2022, p. 3–5). Simulation scenarios are designed to assess these roles holistically, thereby reinforcing the multidimensional nature of physician competence.

Beyond procedural mastery, numerous best-practice reviews emphasize that simulation contributes to the formation of professional identity and emotional intelligence among trainees (McGaghie et al., 2010, p. 50–63; Dagnone et al., 2023, p. 1202598). The reflective structure of debriefing allows learners to analyze not only their actions but also their emotions, ethical decisions, and interpersonal communication. This meta-cognitive process strengthens self-awareness, empathy, and accountability – qualities that are fundamental to the humanistic ethos of pediatrics.

A critical element underpinning successful simulation programs worldwide is the creation of a psychologically safe learning environment. When learners are assured that mistakes will not result in humiliation or punitive consequences, they demonstrate greater openness, creativity, and willingness to engage in self-correction. Such environments enhance confidence, resilience, and readiness for independent clinical decision-making, particularly in high-pressure pediatric contexts.

Ultimately, international experience demonstrates that simulation is not merely a didactic supplement but a transformative pedagogical model. It unites experiential learning theory, evidence-based assessment, and reflective practice into a coherent system that prepares healthcare professionals, especially

pediatricians, to deliver competent, compassionate, and ethically grounded care in an increasingly complex clinical environment.

Ukrainian Context and Implementation Challenges. In Ukraine, simulation-based learning (SBL) has experienced a dynamic phase of development over the past decade, driven by national reforms in medical education and the ongoing process of European integration (Ministry of Health of Ukraine, 2019, p. 2–5). The modernization of clinical education has led to the establishment of clinical-simulation centers in nearly all higher medical institutions, which now serve as integral platforms for practical training and competency assessment. These centers are increasingly equipped with high-fidelity pediatric and neonatal manikins, audiovisual debriefing systems, and Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE)-based evaluation modules that ensure standardized assessment of clinical skills (Hromova et al., 2023, p. 75–82).

At the postgraduate level, pediatric internship programs are progressively incorporating simulation modules that target both technical and communication competencies. Interns undergo scenario-based sessions in emergency pediatrics, neonatal resuscitation, and pediatric cardiopulmonary stabilization, as well as modules on family-centered communication and empathy development (Hromova et al., 2023, p. 75–82). Increasingly, instructors are being trained to facilitate structured debriefings and employ validated, evidence-based simulation scenarios aligned with international best practices (Herush, 2024, p. 5–8).

These trends indicate a growing pedagogical recognition of simulation as a crucial bridge between theoretical instruction and real-world clinical performance. Ukrainian educators are gradually shifting from a teacher-centered to a learner-centered model, emphasizing experiential reflection, error analysis, and patient safety. The adoption of simulation methods has also strengthened the interdisciplinary cooperation between pediatricians, anesthesiologists, and emergency physicians, fostering a collaborative learning culture consistent with global trends in competency-based education.

Barriers and Future Prospects. Despite significant progress, several persistent barriers continue to limit the full-scale integration of SBL into Ukrainian postgraduate pediatric education. Chief among them are disparities in infrastructure and resource allocation, as not all medical universities have equal access to high-fidelity equipment and maintenance support. Equally challenging is the shortage of qualified simulation educators capable of designing and implementing complex scenarios or conducting high-quality debriefings (Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, 2022, p. 12–15).

Furthermore, the absence of nationally standardized frameworks for simulation training results in

inconsistent program design, assessment criteria, and quality assurance across institutions. The situation has been further complicated by the ongoing war, which disrupted educational infrastructure and limited in-person training capacities. Nevertheless, universities have demonstrated exceptional adaptability by implementing hybrid and virtual simulation formats, enabling continuity of practical education even under crisis conditions (WHO Europe, 2023, p. 3–5; Mayer et al., 2023, p. 804).

Looking ahead, the strategic development of simulation-based pediatric education in Ukraine should focus on three primary priorities. First, the creation of a national accreditation and quality assurance system for simulation centers to ensure standardized benchmarks for faculty competence, equipment, and curriculum design. Second, the establishment of inter-university cooperation networks that will facilitate shared resources, mentorship, and joint training events, particularly in pediatric and neonatal care. Third, the integration of psychological preparedness and resilience training into simulation curricula to enhance stress management and emotional intelligence among interns (Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, 2022, p. 12–15).

In the long term, sustained investment in simulation infrastructure and faculty development will position Ukrainian medical education in closer alignment with WFME global standards and contribute directly to the improvement of pediatric healthcare outcomes at both institutional and national levels (WFME, 2020, p. 15–17).

Conclusions. Simulation-based learning (SBL) represents a transformative paradigm in postgraduate pediatric education. By creating a safe and structured environment for experiential practice, simulation enables the integration of cognitive, technical, and emotional learning, ensuring that interns acquire not only procedural accuracy but also empathy and ethical sensitivity. It bridges the gap between theory and clinical application, enhances diagnostic reasoning, and fosters effective communication with children and their families.

For Ukraine, the implementation of SBL has a dual significance: it modernizes medical training in line with international standards and ensures educational resilience in the context of ongoing national challenges. The expansion of simulation centers and the professionalization of educators have laid a strong foundation for the future of pediatric postgraduate education.

Sustained institutional commitment, investment in infrastructure, and national coordination will further consolidate these advances. By prioritizing simulation-based learning, Ukraine can prepare a new generation of pediatricians who are competent, compassionate, and resilient – ready to deliver high-quality, patient-centered care in a dynamic healthcare environment.

References

1. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME). (2025). Program requirements for graduate medical education in pediatrics (2025). Chicago: ACGME.
2. Cheng, A., Kessler, D., Mackinnon, R., et al. (2014). Technology-enhanced simulation and pediatric education. *Pediatrics*, 133 (5), e1313–e1323. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-2139>
3. Cook, D. A., Hatala, R., Brydges, R., et al. (2011). Technology-enhanced simulation for health professions education: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 306 (9), 978–988. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.1234>
4. Dagnone, D., Battista, A. & Campbell, D. M. (2023). Simulation-based learning in postgraduate medical education: Current evidence and future directions. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1202598. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1202598>
5. Diaz-Navarro, C., Cheng, A., Nestel, D., et al. (2024). Global consensus statement on simulation-based practice in healthcare simulation. *Advances in Simulation*, 9 (1), 12. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41077-024-00288-1>
6. Ericsson, K. A. (2008). Deliberate practice and acquisition of expert performance. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 15 (11), 988–994. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2008.00227.x>
7. Fanning, R. M. & Gaba, D. M. (2007). The role of debriefing in simulation-based learning. *Simulation in Healthcare*, 2 (2), 115–125. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/SH.0b013e3180315539>
8. Herush, I. V. (Ed.). (2024). Medical simulation – a view to the future: Collection of materials. Chernivtsi: Bukovynian State Medical University. Herush, I. V. (Ed.). (2024). Medychne symulatsiine navchannia – pohliad u maibutnie: zbirnyk materialiv [Medical Simulation – A View to the Future: Collection of Materials]. Chernivtsi: Bukovynian State Medical University. [in Ukrainian].
9. Hromova, A. M., Liakhovska, T. Yu., Orlova, Yu. A., et al. (2023). Use of simulation technologies for OSCE readiness. *Odesa Medical Journal*, 1(182), 75–82. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32782/2226-2008-2023-1-16>
10. Hromova, A. M., Liakhovska, T. Yu., Orlova, Yu. A., et al. (2023). Vykorystannia symulatsiinykh tekhnolohii dlia pidhotovky do OSCE [Use of Simulation Technologies for OSCE Readiness]. *Odeskyi medychnyi zhurnal – Odesa Medical Journal*, 1(182), 75–82. [in Ukrainian].
10. Issenberg, S. B. & McGaghie, W. C. (2005). Features and uses of high-fidelity medical simulations that lead to effective learning: A BEME systematic review. *Medical Teacher*, 27 (1), 10–28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590500046924>
11. Kneebone, R. (2005). Evaluating clinical simulations for learning procedural skills: A theory-based approach. *Academic Medicine*, 80 (6), 549–553. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-200506000-00006>
12. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
13. Mayer, A., Yaremko, O., Shchudrova, T., et al. (2023). Medical education in times of war: A mixed-methods needs analysis at Ukrainian medical schools. *BMC Medical Education*, 23, 804. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04768-2>
14. McGaghie, W. C., Issenberg, S. B., Petrusa, E. R. & Scalese, R. J. (2010). A critical review of simulation-based medical education research: 2003–2009. *Medical Education*, 44 (1), 50–63. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2009.03547.x>
15. Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine. (2022). *Standards of Higher Education of Ukraine in the Field of Medicine and Health Care: Order No. 764, June 30, 2022*. Kyiv: MES Ukraine.
16. Ministerstvo osvity i nauky Ukrainy. (2022). *Standarty vyshchoi osvity Ukrainy u haluzi medytsyny ta okhorony zdorovia: Nakaz № 764 vid 30 chervnia 2022 r.* [Standards of Higher Education of Ukraine in the Field of Medicine and Health Care: Order No. 764, June 30, 2022]. Kyiv: MES Ukraine. [in Ukrainian].
17. Ministry of Health of Ukraine. (2019). *Concept for the Development of Simulation Medical Education in Ukraine: Order No. 1134, May 18, 2019*. Kyiv: MoH Ukraine.
18. Ministerstvo okhorony zdorovia Ukrainy. (2019). *Kontseptsiiia rozvytku symulatsiinoi medychnoi osvity v Ukraini: Nakaz № 1134 vid 18 travnja 2019 r.* [Concept for the Development of Simulation Medical Education in Ukraine: Order No. 1134, May 18, 2019]. Kyiv: MoH Ukraine. [in Ukrainian].
19. Motola, I., Devine, L. A., Chung, H. S., Sullivan, J. E. & Issenberg, S. B. (2013). Simulation in healthcare education: A best evidence practical guide. *Medical Teacher*, 35 (10), e1511–e1530. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.818632>
20. Mundell, W. C., Kennedy, C., Szostek, J. H. & Cook, D. A. (2013). Simulation technology for resuscitation training: A systematic review. *Medical Teacher*, 35 (1), e1511–e1523. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.714886>
21. Weller, J. M. (2012). Simulation in clinical teaching and learning. *The Clinical Teacher*, 9 (3), 164–168. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-498X.2012.00537.x>
22. World Federation for Medical Education (WFME). (2020). *WFME global standards for basic medical education*. Copenhagen: WFME.
23. World Federation for Medical Education (WFME). (2023). *WFME global standards for postgraduate medical education (PGME)*. Copenhagen: WFME.
24. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. (2023). *Resilience of medical education in Ukraine during wartime: Situation review 2022–2023*. Geneva – Copenhagen: WHO Europe.

Електронна адреса для листування: labivka_ovol@tdmu.edu.ua

Стаття надійшла 15.10.2025
Статтю прийнято 01.11.2025
Стаття опублікована 30.12.2025