COMPARISON OF SHORT-TERM ANALGESIC EFFECTS OF EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCK WAVE THERAPY (ESWT) AND CONSERVATIVE TREATMENT (CT) IN MEN WITH CHRONIC HEEL SPUR (HS)
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Background. The surgical removal of heel spur (HS) provokes many controversial opinions, but clinical studies show the risk of complication after operation. An alternative to the surgical removal of HS is Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy (ESWT), because it is non-invasive method.

Objective. The aim of the study is to compare the short-term analgesic effects of ESWT and conservative treatment (CT) in males with chronic HS.

Methods. Sixty patients (mean age 54.9±4.3 years; range 42 to 59 years) were examined who had pain associated with HS. In the shockwave group (group 1) patients received 1000–2000 impulses of shocks to the affected heel in a single session. The patients in this group took a series of 5 ESWT in 1 week intervals. Patients in the control group (group 2) at the same time received CT consisting of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), orthotics and a local cortisone injection. The basic method of research was to evaluate pain according to Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) 0–10. The patients of the two groups were tested before the treatment, after the last treatment and 3 months and 6 months after the treatment.

Results. A significant decrease of VAS (p=0.000) was seen in the shockwave group. In the control group no significant decrease of VAS was seen.

Conclusions. ESWT reduces pain more effectively than CT in men with chronic HS.
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Introduction

Chronic inflammation of the plantar fascia, in the place of its connection to calcaneal tuberosity, can be a major cause of pain in the adult population. Due to a visible X-ray imaging calcification – bone spurs near the heel – the condition is commonly called “heel spur” (HS). Among other causes of the condition, there are: an inflammation of the Achilles tendon associated with inflammation of the Achilles tendon bursitis, inflammation of adipose tissue beneath calcaneal tuberosity by repeated micro damages that cause degeneration of the tissue, fatigue fractures of the calcaneal tuberosity, neuropathy caused by pressure on the plantar branch of the tibial nerve by an overgrown plantar aponeurosis. Risk factors also include excessive body weight, running and jumping, work that entails standing or lifting heavy objects, flat feet, rheumatic changes [1–5]. Originally, the condition may be asymptomatic – pain rarely occurs in the early stages of the condition. Most patients come to the doctor in a fairly advanced stage of the condition, complaining on severe pain in the heel that escalates after they walk on hard ground or carry heavy objects and that usually disappear after they rest.

The treatment of HS and plantar fascia provokes many controversial opinions, many authors point to the need for surgical removal of calcaneal bursitis and resection of HS, but clinical studies show the risk of complication after operation [6–12]. An alternative to the surgical treatment of HS is CT that involves the use of physiotherapy treatments, such as ionophoresis, laser, ultrasound, and recently more and more often ESWT is used [13–16]. ESWT is a very effective method which effectiveness is comparable to surgery. The most important is the fact that it is a completely non-invasive method. It has not yet been fully explained how it works exactly, but it probably involves micro destructions – the application of ESWT causes micro breaks in avascular or poorly-vascularized tissue thus stimulating appropriate revascularization and stem cell growth. Patients may continue to work or train the next day. Given the significant reduction of activity limitations and short duration of the treatment,
ESWT is not only comparable to surgical treatment, but, in general, it is also less expensive than a few months of CT [17–18].

The aim of the study was to compare the short-term analgesic effects of ESWT and CT in males with chronic HS.

Methods
Continuous research was carried out in the period from January 2010 to July 2012, and involved sixty patients (middle age 54.9±4.3 years; range from 42 to 59 years) who had pain associated with HS. The average BMI was 24.29±0.78. None of the men was obese. The study was conducted in Busko-Zdroj Spa. The patients had unilateral pain. The average duration of pain was 15.2±5.3 months. Inclusion criteria were pain over the X-ray examined HS, unsuccessful CT (cromophoresis, cryotherapy, laser therapy, ultrasound and phonophoresis) during the six months before referral to Spa in Busko-Zdroj. Exclusion criteria were arthritis (rheumatoid arthritis, spondarthritis, crystal induced arthropathies) diabetes mellitus, neurological abnormalities, age under 18 years, infectious or tumors diseases, skin ulcerations, and bursitis. All patients were informed about the principles of the treatment, and they signed a written permission for treatment and participation in the study.

In the shockwave group (group 1) patients received – during the first treatment 1000 impulses, 1500 impulses in the second, and 2000 impulses in the third, fourth and fifth treatment (the pressure of 2.5 bar, the frequency of 8 Hz, the energy density of 0.4 mJ/mm²). The patients in the shockwave group took a series of 5 ESWT in 1 week intervals. Apparatus BTL-5000 SWT was used for the treatment. The active engagement between the head of the apparatus with a diameter of 15 mm and skin was gel used in ultrasound scan. The procedure was performed in the area of most intense pain – calcaneal tuberosity. Treatment time did not exceed 10 minutes. Patients in the control group (group 2) at the same time received CT consisting of NSAIDs, orthotics and a local cortisone injection with 0.5 mL of betamethasone (7 mg/mL).

The basic method of research was to evaluate pain according to VAS, where 0 – no pain; 10 – severe (maximum) pain at rest, after walking on awakening, and after normal daily activity. The patients of two groups were tested before the treatment, at the end of the last treatment. The patients were also examined for 3 months and 6 months after the end of treatment. The results observed were classified as excellent improvement (a VAS reduction of over 50 %), good improvement (a VAS reduction of over 30 % to 50 %), slight improvement (a VAS reduction of over 15 % to 30 %).

In order to evaluate the early results of the treatment, the obtained data was subjected to statistical analysis. The statistical distributions of the analyzed characteristics were examined by the use of Kolmogorov-Smirnov’s test. It was shown that the tested variables had normal distribution. Basic descriptive statistics was used for the analysis. The mean arithmetic (\(\bar{x}\)) and standard deviation (SD) of the studied traits of men in both groups were calculated. In order to evaluate the statistical significance of differences in the intensity of pain before the treatment, after the last treatment and 3 and 6 months later, while at rest, while waking on awakening, and after normal daily activity, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used in both studied groups. The value of the function F Snedecor was observed in various combinations. The statistical significance of differences in the intensity of pain was assessed at the 0.05 level. Calculations were performed at the Department of Computer Science at Holy Cross University in Kielce with the use of MedCalc software – version 11.4.3.0, licensed to Holy Cross College. The research project was authorized by the Bioethics Committee at Holy Cross College in Kielce, resolution 1/10/KB dated 29.01.2010.

Results
A significant decrease of VAS (\(p = 0.000\)) was seen in the shockwave group (group 1) just after the end of ESWT and in the period after the end of ESWT 3 months later and in the period from 3 months to 6 months after the end of ESWT at three reference points – at rest, when walking on awakening, and after normal daily activity. No significant decrease of VAS was seen in the control group (group 2) just after the end of CT at rest (\(p = 0.202\)), when walking on awakening (\(p = 0.367\)) and after normal daily activity (\(p = 0.341\)). No significant decrease of VAS was seen in the period after the end of CT 3 months later at rest (\(p = 0.367\)), when walking on awakening (\(p = 0.630\)) and after normal daily activity (\(p = 0.633\)). Also, no significant decrease of VAS was seen in the period from 3 months to 6 months after the end of CT at rest (\(p = 0.608\)), when walking on awakening (\(p = 0.337\)) and after normal daily activity (\(p = 0.393\)). Studies have shown that ESWT reduces pain more effectively than CT in males with chronic HS (Table 1).

The analgesic efficacy of ESWT is confirmed by the detailed analysis of differences in the frequency of occurrence of pain intensity between groups. In the shockwave group (group 1) excellent improvement (a VAS reduction of over 50 %) was seen in 22/30 (73.3 %) patients, good improvement (a VAS reduction of over 30 % to 50 %) was seen in 6/30 (20 %) patients and slight improvement (a VAS
Table 1. The intensity of pain (VAS) in the following terms of research at three reference points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Three Reference Point</th>
<th>Shockwaves group (group 1)</th>
<th>Control group (group 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>when at rest</td>
<td>when walking on awakening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>F-Value</td>
<td>P-Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before the ESWT</td>
<td>7.4±0.9</td>
<td>8.3±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the last session ESWT</td>
<td>5.8±0.7</td>
<td>6.1±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months after ESWT</td>
<td>3.9±0.8</td>
<td>4.4±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>F-Value</td>
<td>P-Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before conservative treatment</td>
<td>8.4±0.9</td>
<td>8.6±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the last conservative treatment</td>
<td>8.4±0.9</td>
<td>8.4±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months after conservative treatment</td>
<td>8.5±0.8</td>
<td>8.5±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months after conservative treatment</td>
<td>8.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

reduction of over 15% to 30% was seen in 2/30 (6.7%) patients at three reference points – at rest, when walking on awakening, and after normal daily activity. There was no decreasing in pain intensity in the control group (group 2), as it was unchanged in 24/30 (80%) patients and worsened in 6/30 (20%) patients at these points (Table 2).

Discussion
HS is a condition that affects more and more people of all ages. It affects their quality of life, reduces socio-professional activity and becomes the cause of frequent sickness absence. The effectiveness of treatment for HS causes many controversial opinions. There is no consensus on how
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addition, the level of pain was recorded in the VAS
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was simulated (0 mJ/mm²). The results revealed
significant modification of the HS in X-ray picture.
Moretti, Garofalo, Patella, Sisti, Corrado, Mouhsine
[28] evaluated the analgesic efficacy of low doses of
ESWT for foot plantar fascia inflammation
accompanying HS in runners – athletes. The
subjects received a weekly shock wave of 1000
impulses, 0.06 mJ/mm² energy density. ESWT con-
tinued for four weeks. The patients were examined
after the last session of ESWT. Clinical results were
excellent in 59 % of cases, good in 12 % of cases,
satisfactory in 21 % and clearly unsatisfactory in 8 %.
Ultrasound examination after the end of ESWT
showed the disappearance of inflammatory symp-
toms in 61 % of athletes.

Cosentino, Falsetti, Manca, De Stefano, Frati,
Frediani et al. [29] evaluated the analgesic efficacy
ESWT at patients with calcaneal enthesophytosis.
Patients were randomly assigned into two equal
groups. The shockwave group (group 1) received
six treatments (one every 7–10 days), each treat-
ment consisting of 1200 shocks with a frequency of
120 shocks/min; the energy density used varied from
0.03 to 0.4 mJ/mm² and the control group (group 2)
went through the identical process but energy density
was simulated (0 mJ/mm²). The results revealed
significant reduction of pain in the shockwave group
(group 1). In the control group (group 2) no significant
decrease of VAS was seen.

Wang, Wang, Yang, Weng, Ko [30] evaluated
patients with an established diagnosis of chronic
plantar fasciitis, including patients in the shockwave
treatment group and patients in the control group. In
the shockwave group, patients received 1500

Table 2. The analgesic effects of ESWT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (shockwave group)</th>
<th>Group 2 (control group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>percent</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS&gt;50 % Reducing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS&gt;30-50 % Reducing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS&gt;15-30 % Reducing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS unchanged</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS worsened</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to treat it, conservatively or surgically. Endoscopy is
used most often in surgical treatment. This method
is cost-effective, non-invasive, with low risk of post-
surgery complications and allows patients to return
to socio-professional activity after surgical treatment
earlier [8, 19–22]. An alternative to surgical treatment
is to use ESWT for treatment of chronic heel spur.
There are different opinions on this subject, but the
prevailing among them are views highlighting the
analgesic efficacy of ESWT in the treatment of
chronic HS. The analgesic success of ESWT
probably depends on the total dose of energy, not
on the density of stream of emitted energy [18, 23–
25]. Review of subject literature shows that the
analgesic efficacy of ESWT with different physical
characteristics and over a different period of time
concerning was investigated. Hammer, Adam,
Kreutz, Kohn, Seil [14] assessed the analgesic
efficacy of ESWT in patients with painful chronic
inflammation of the plantar fascia. Patients treated
with ESWT were given 3000 impulses of shocks with
energy density of 0.2 mJ/mm² at weekly intervals.
Two years after the end of treatment the level of
pain on a VAS scale in patients treated with ESWT
decreased 94 %. In subsequent studies, the pre-
viously mentioned authors used medical ultrasono-
graphy to assess the effects of ESWT on changes
in thickness of plantar fascia that had been affected
by chronic inflammation. During the treatment, 3
sessions of 3000 shock wave impulses with the
stream density of 0.2 mJ/mm² were applied at weekly
intervals. The thickness of plantar fascia was
measured 2 cm distally to calcaneal tuberosity. In
addition, the level of pain was recorded in the VAS
scale. The ultrasound scan 6 months after the end of
ESWT showed a significant reduction in the
thickness of plantar fascia of the affected foot and
the level of patients pain was reduced 79 % in the
VAS scale [26]. Metzner, Dohnalek, Aigner [18] also
used ESWT in patients with chronic plantar fascia.
Each patient received 1000 impulses of shock wave,
the density of energy stream was 0.35 mJ/mm². The
patients were examined 6 weeks, 16 months and
72 months after the end of ESWT. It turned out that
pain was reduced in 81 % of patients after 6 weeks,
in 88 % of patients – after 16 months, and in 96 % of
patients in the last examination – 72 months after
the end of ESWT. Based on these results, the
authors concluded that the applied doses of ESWT
effectively reduced pain and the achieved therapeutic
effects provide satisfactory long-term results. Yalin,
Keskin Akca, Selcuk, Kurtaran, Akyuz [27] used
ESWT for analgesic purposes in patients with HS
and treated them for 5 weeks with 2000 impulses of
shock waves, ranging from 0.05 to 0.4 mJ/mm².
Clinical results demonstrated excellent results (no
pain) in 66.7 % of the cases, good results
(50 % of pain reduced) in 15.7 % of the cases, and
unsatisfactory outcome (no reduction in pain) in 17.6
% of all cases. At the same time, the study showed
no correlation between the reduction of pain and a
significant modification of the HS in X-ray picture.

Moretti, Garofalo, Patella, Sisti, Corrado, Mouhsine
[28] evaluated the analgesic efficacy of low doses of
ESWT for foot plantar fascia inflammation
accompanying HS in runners – athletes. The
subjects received a weekly shock wave of 1000
impulses, 0.06 mJ/mm² energy density. ESWT con-
tinued for four weeks. The patients were examined
after the last session of ESWT. Clinical results were
excellent in 59 % of cases, good in 12 % of cases,
satisfactory in 21 % and clearly unsatisfactory in 8 %.
Ultrasound examination after the end of ESWT
showed the disappearance of inflammatory symp-
toms in 61 % of athletes.

Cosentino, Falsetti, Manca, De Stefano, Frati,
Frediani et al. [29] evaluated the analgesic efficacy
ESWT at patients with calcaneal enthesophytosis.
Patients were randomly assigned into two equal
groups. The shockwave group (group 1) received
six treatments (one every 7–10 days), each treat-
ment consisting of 1200 shocks with a frequency of
120 shocks/min; the energy density used varied from
0.03 to 0.4 mJ/mm² and the control group (group 2)
went through the identical process but energy density
was simulated (0 mJ/mm²). The results revealed
significant reduction of pain in the shockwave group
(group 1). In the control group (group 2) no significant
decrease of VAS was seen.

Wang, Wang, Yang, Weng, Ko [30] evaluated
patients with an established diagnosis of chronic
plantar fasciitis, including patients in the shockwave
treatment group and patients in the control group. In
the shockwave group, patients received 1500
impulses of shockwaves at 16 kV to the affected heel in a single session. Patients in the control group received CT consisting of orthotics, physical therapy, an exercise program, and/or a local cortisone injection. Before treatment, groups showed no significant differences in the scores for pain. After treatment, the shockwave group showed significantly better pain scores in comparison with the control group. The overall results were 69.1 % excellent, 13.6 % good, 6.2 % fair, and 11.1 % poor for the shockwave group and 0 % excellent, 55 % good, 36 % fair, and 9 % poor for the control group.

Our study confirmed the results of other authors. The doses used in ESWT gradually decreased pain intensity in the shockwave group (group 1) and this trend persisted up to 6 months from the last session of ESWT. The observed differences in this period were statistically significant. In the control group (group 2) no significant decrease of VAS was seen after the treatment and in the period from 3 months to 6 months after the end of CT and in the period from 3 months to 6 months after the end of CT in patients with HS. Furthermore, statistically significant differences in pain were also found between the two groups. Excellent improvement of pain intensity was seen in 73.3 % patients, good improvement was seen in 20 % patients and slight improvement was seen in 6.7 % patients in the shockwave group (group 1) at three reference points: at rest, when walking on awakening, and after normal daily activity. As for the control group (group 1), there was no reduction of pain intensity; it remained unchanged in 80 % of patients and worsened in 20 % of patients at these points. Summing up, the results of short-term studies show that ESWT effectively reduces pain, which, in turn, reduces mental and physical discomfort in patients with chronic HS.

Conclusion

The short-term studies have demonstrated the analgesic effectiveness of ESWT. We achieved a significant reduction of pain, that persisted for 6 months in shockwaves group. ESWT reduces pain more effectively than CT in males with chronic HS. The studies have shown that ESWT is a repeatable and non-invasive treatment, and, therefore, is a valuable alternative therapeutic option for surgical treatment and other CT in patients suffering from pain due to chronic HS.
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