ROBUSTNESS EVALUATION OF THE CHROMATOGRAPHIC DETERMINATION OF VERAPAMIL HYDROCHLORIDE

Introduction. The robustness of an analytical procedure is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate variations in method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability during normal usage.

The aim of the study – the robustness evaluation of the chromatographic determination of verapamil hydrochloride using Youden's test.

Methods of the research. Youden's test is a reliable method to evaluate the robustness of analytical methods, by means of an experiment design which involves seven analytical parameters combined in eight tests. In the present study, we assessed the robustness of a chromatographic method to quantify verapamil hydrochloride using Youden's test. Hence, it was possible to determine the effect of each analytical parameter in the final analysis results. Youden's test showed to be a simple and feasible procedure to evaluate the robustness of chromatographic methods.

Results and Discussion. Using the criteria of Youden's test, the chromatographic method showed to be highly robust regarding the verapamil hydrochloride content, when variations in seven analytical parameters were introduced. The highest variation in the verapamil hydrochloride content was 0.26 %, when the concentration of triethylamine in the mobile phase was altered; a value considerably low and not significant in routine analyses.

Conclusions. Youden's test showed to be a reliable and useful tool for the robustness evaluation of the chromatographic method for verapamil hydrochloride quantitation. By means of this test, it was possible to evaluate the effect of seven analytical parameters in the final result of the analyses. Therefore, Youden's test can be successfully applied for the robustness evaluation in validation process of analytical methods by HPLC.
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INTRODUCTION. The analytical procedure refers to the way of performing the analysis. The steps necessary to perform each analytical test should be described in details. This may include but not limited to: the sample, the reference standard and the reagents preparations, use of the apparatus, generation of the calibration curve, use of the formulae for the calculation, etc.

The evaluation of robustness should be considered during the development phase and depends on the type of procedure under study. It should show the reliability of an analysis with respect to deliberate variations in method parameters.

If measurements are susceptible to variations in analytical conditions, the analytical conditions should be suitably controlled or a precautionary statement should be included in the procedure. One consequence of the evaluation of robustness should be that a series of system suitability parameters (e.g., resolution test) is established to ensure that the validity of the analytical procedure is maintained whenever used.

Examples of typical variations are:
- stability of analytical solutions,
- extraction time.

In the case of liquid chromatography, examples of typical variations are
- influence of variations of pH in a mobile phase,
- influence of variations in mobile phase composition,
- different columns (different lots and/or suppliers),
- temperature,
- flow rate.

In the case of gas-chromatography, examples of typical variations are:
- different columns (different lots and/or suppliers),
- temperature,
- flow rate [1].
The evaluation of the robustness of chromatographic methods often is complex and laborious, taking into account the large number of analytical parameters that should be considered to carry out the test. Some authors select specific analytical parameters to be evaluated, introducing small variations in the nominal conditions and the statistical interpretation is performed by means of Student’s t-test or ANOVA test. Other wider alternative to determine the robustness of analytical methods is the Youden’s test. This test allows not only evaluating the method robustness but also pointing out the influence of each analytical parameter in the final results. The basic idea of Youden’s test is not to study one alteration at time but to introduce several changes at once, in such a manner that the effects of individual changes can be ascertained [2, 3].

The aim of the work was to evaluate the robustness of the chromatographic method for the quantitation of verapamil hydrochloride, using Youden’s test, and determine the analytical parameters that present higher influence in the final results of the analysis.

METHODS OF THE RESEARCH. Verapamil hydrochloride SPhU and raw material were purchased from Darnitsa (Ukraine). The chromatographic analysis of verapamil hydrochloride performed on liquid chromatographs Agilent 1290 and HP 1100 systems. The columns used Nucleosil C18 (4.6×150 mm with a particle size of 5 microns) and Ascentis Express C18 (column size 4.6×150 mm with a particle size of 5 microns). The column temperature was 25 °C. The mobile phase consisted of methanol R, water R, acetic acid R and triethylamine R (55: 44: 1: 0.1), at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. The detection was performed at 280 nm.

Standard solution. 20.0 mg of verapamil hydrochloride SPhU dissolve in methanol R and dilute with the same solvent to 20.0 ml volume. 5.0 ml of the resulting solution adjusted to 25.0 ml of solvent.

Sample solution. To 20.0 mg of verapamil hydrochloride raw material, add 10 ml of methanol R, shake in ultrasonic bath for 10 minutes and add methanol R to the volume of 20.0 ml. 5.0 ml of the resulting filtrate adjusted to 25.0 ml of solvent.

The robustness evaluation of the chromatographic method for the verapamil hydrochloride quantitation was performed using the method proposed by Youden and Steiner (1975). Seven analytical parameters were selected and small variations were induced in the nominal values of the method. Then, eight runs were performed aiming to determine the influence of each parameter in the final result. The seven analytical parameters employed, as well as the introduced variations are demonstrated at Table 1. The analytical conditions at the nominal values are represented by capital letters and the conditions with the small variation are represented by lowercase letters.

The seven parameters and its respective variations were combined in eight assays or chromatographic runs, performed in a random order. Table 2 demonstrates the factorial combination of the parameters for the Youden’s test. The analyses results are shown by letters from s to z. Hence, when combination 1 was assayed, the obtained result was s. When combination 2 was assayed, the obtained result was t, and so successively.

In each combination, three injections of each sample and standard solutions were carried out, at the work concentration. After the change of chromatographic column or mobile phase composition, 30 minutes were awaited for system stabilization. The evaluated results in each combination were peak area, retention time (Rt), tailing factor (T), theoretical plates number (N) and verapamil hydrochloride content.

To determine the influence of variations of each parameter in the final result, the mean of the four values corresponding to the capital letters (nominal conditions) was compared to the mean of the four values corresponding to the lowercase letters (altered conditions). For example, to evaluate the effect of the column temperature in the final result of the analyses, the following equation was employed:

$$\text{Effect } C/c = (s+u+w+y)/4 - (t+v+x+z)/4 \quad \text{Eq. (1)}.$$
Thus, the influence of the seven analytical parameters regarding the peak area, retention time (Rt), tailing factor (T), theoretical plates number (N) and verapamil hydrochloride content were evaluated. By means of Youden’s test, it is possible to establish certainly the parameters which present higher influence in the final result of the analyses and perform a more rigorous control in the eventual variations of these parameters that may occur during a routine analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION. The assays for the robustness evaluation of the chromatographic method were carried out simultaneously in both equipments, Agilent 1290 and HP1100. The results obtained in the eight runs to the verapamil hydrochloride sample and standard solutions [4, 5].

To evaluate the effect of each parameter, the average of the four values corresponding to altered conditions was subtracted from the average of the four values obtained at the nominal conditions, as demonstrated in Eq. (1). The effects of the parameter variations in the analysis results are presented in Table 3.

Using the criteria of Youden’s test, the chromatographic method showed to be highly robust regarding the verapamil hydrochloride content, when variations in seven analytical parameters were introduced. The highest variation in the verapamil hydrochloride content was 0.26 %, when the concentration of triethylamine in the mobile phase was altered; a value considerably low and not significant in routine analyses. The retention time of verapamil hydrochloride peak was more considerably influenced by three analytical parameters. The decrease of the methanol, acetic acid and triethylamine concentrations reduced the eluent strength of the mobile phase and induced the increase of the retention time of the verapamil hydrochloride peak. Some parameters such as column temperature, mobile phase flow rate, column supplier and chromatograph model presented low influence in the evaluated factors of the chromatographic method.

CONCLUSIONS. Youden’s test showed to be a reliable and useful tool for the robustness evaluation of the chromatographic method for verapamil hydrochloride quantitation. By means of this test, it was possible to evaluate the effect of seven analytical parameters in the final result of the analyses, performing only eight runs. Therefore, Youden’s test can be successfully applied for the robustness evaluation in validation process of analytical methods by HPLC.
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АНАЛІЗ РОБАСТНОСТІ ХРОМАТОГРАФІЧНОГО ОПРЕДЕЛЕННЯ ВЕРАПАМИЛА ГІДРОХЛОРИДА

Резюме

Вступлення. Робастність – це способність аналітичної методики не підвергатися впливу маленьких заданих аналістом змін у часі виконання методики, є показником надежності методики при її використанні в наведених умовах.

Ціль дослідження. – проаналізувати робастність хроматографічного определення верапамила гідрохлоріду з використанням Юден теста.

Методи дослідження. Іспитування Юден теста є надійним методом аналізу робастності аналітичних методів з допомогою планировання експериментів, який включає сім аналітичних показників, об'єднаних у восьмі випробувань. Іншими словами, результати робастності хроматографічного методу для колірного аналізу визначено при використанні Юден теста. Оцінка робастності може бути прийнята простим і доступним в процедурі оцінки робастності хроматографічних методів.

Результати та обговорення. При використанні критерій Юден теста хроматографічний метод показав високу оценку робастності, відносно вмісту верапамила гідрохлоріду, коли змінилися сім аналітичних параметрів. Наукова варіація в вмісті верапамила гідрохлоріду становила 0,26 %, коли була змінена концентрація триетиламина в рухомій фазі.

Висновки. Юден тест надійний і полезний для оцінки надійності хроматографічного методу колірного аналізу визначено при використанні сім аналітичних параметрів в визначених результатах аналізу. Таким чином, Юден тест можна успішно використовуватись для оцінки робастності в процесі відновлення аналітичних методів з допомогою високоеквівалентної жироспрабної хроматографії.
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